

Client: Susan R.

Condition: Chronic arthritis, under prolonged stress (2 years)

Objective:

The purpose of these Neurosonic Therapy sessions was to promote realignment toward the client’s individual **Voice Frequency Signature Profile (VFSP)**—particularly her **Resonant Pitch Point (RPP = 182.7 Hz)**—rather than to target pain directly. This approach was intended to stabilize internal vibratory coherence, which in turn may influence both physical and emotional states.

Method:

Each Neurosonic Therapy session was built primarily from data obtained from the client’s VFSP. The **brainwave frequency ranges and musical compositions** were selected before each session based on **pre-session voice recordings**, allowing identification of which frequency structures were likely to guide the resonance either upward or downward toward the baseline reference.

The session content was therefore dynamically tailored to the client’s daily resonance pattern rather than being fixed. Toward the end of the series, **two sessions were conducted using the same composition** previously identified as most beneficial, to verify repeatability and confirm stabilization effects.

Pre- and post-session voice samples were analyzed for **Concert Pitch (CP)** and **Resonant Pitch Point (RPP)** variations relative to the VFSP. Subjective assessments were also recorded as “Condition” (before) and “Observation” (after) to compare verbal impressions with measurable vibratory data.

Date	Pre-CP	Post-CP	Pre-RPP	Post-RPP	VFSP Ref. 182.7 Hz
09.26	432.9 Hz	441.3 Hz	184.5 Hz	186.6 Hz	
09.27	439.2 Hz	442.0 Hz	194.8 Hz	188.6 Hz	
09.28	444.3 Hz	432.7 Hz	185.6 Hz	182.8 Hz	
10.02	436.7 Hz	441.0 Hz	183.8 Hz	182.8 Hz	

Date	Pre-CP	Post-CP	Pre-RPP	Post-RPP	VFSP Ref. 182.7 Hz
10.03	432.2 Hz	448.2 Hz	183.6 Hz	181.5 Hz	
10.04	430.2 Hz	429.0 Hz	181.3 Hz	182.8 Hz	

Results:

- **RPP (Core Resonance):**

Early sessions demonstrated wide deviations from the VFSP, most notably on **09.27** when the pre-session RPP rose to **194.8 Hz**, indicating strong disalignment and compensatory neural activity. Following this point, values progressively converged toward the VFSP, with the final sessions showing pre- and post-RPPs between **181.3–182.8 Hz**, signifying effective stabilization of resonance.

- **Subjective Assessment:**

Despite variable self-reported feelings, including good mood and optimism, measurable RPP data revealed that such impressions did not always coincide with vibratory alignment. The **09.27 session** clearly illustrates this discrepancy: Susan described improved energy and clarity, yet the data indicated significant RPP deviation. This contrast underscores how **subjective relief can emerge before full energetic realignment occurs**.

- **Concert Pitch (CP):**

CP values fluctuated substantially (429–448 Hz), showing sensitivity to conscious and emotional states such as stress, focus, or alertness. These variations point to CP functioning as a **marker of conscious modulation**, while RPP represents the **autonomic and structural resonance baseline**.

Interpretation:

RPP changes across sessions indicate a gradual return toward vibrational homeostasis, validating the use of VFSP-guided sound structures to influence resonance directionally—either upward or downward—depending on the daily pre-session data.

In contrast, the larger CP oscillations reflect the influence of **momentary psychological and stress-related variables**, aligning more closely with mood and attention than with deep systemic coherence. This dual response pattern—stable RPP trends with fluid CP

movement—highlights two interacting layers of feedback: **autonomic realignment and conscious modulation**.

Conclusion:

Susan R.'s results demonstrate consistent movement toward RPP alignment despite fluctuating mood and stress markers. While her subjective reports reflected general improvement, objective measurements revealed that coherence followed its own trajectory, often independent of emotional state.

The case supports the system's ability to track both deep and surface-level vibratory responses. RPP represents the foundation of neurosonic stabilization, whereas CP acts as a **real-time conscious-state indicator**.

Given CP's responsiveness to emotion and stress, **further classification of CP markers** is recommended, as these could become valuable tools for client education and for correlating conscious feedback with measurable vibrational data.

Overall, the findings confirm that alignment to VFSP through personalized compositions can facilitate measurable coherence and lasting psychophysiological benefits.